Vigyan Varta an International E-Magazine for Science Enthusiasts

www.vigyanvarta.in

Vol. 6, Issue 12

E-ISSN: 2582-9467
Popular Article
Kumar and Dahiya (2025)

Enhancing Abiotic-Stress Tolerance in Staple Crops through Epigenome Engineering: Potential for Climate Adaptation

Vaishnavendra Kumar[1] and Sucheta Dahiya[2*]

[1]*PG Scholar, Department of Agronomy, FASC, SGT University, Gurugram, Haryana- 122505.*
[2]*Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy, FASC, SGT University, Gurugram, Haryana- 122505, India.*

Corresponding Author
Sucheta Dahiya
Email: candidsucheta@gmail.com

OPEN ACCESS

How to cite this article:

Kumar, V. and Dahiya, S. 2025. Enhancing Abiotic-Stress Tolerance in Staple Crops through Epigenome Engineering: Potential for Climate Adaptation. *Vigyan Varta* 6 (12): 165-168.

ABSTRACT

Abiotic stresses drought, heat, salinity, and extreme temperatures are primary constraints on staple-crop productivity under climate change. Conventional breeding and genetic modification have delivered important gains, but their pace and scope may not match accelerating climatic pressures. Epigenome engineering, which manipulates heritable and reversible chromatin states (DNA methylation, histone marks, and small-RNA pathways) at specific loci without changing underlying DNA sequence, offers a complementary route for improving stress tolerance and stress memory in crops. Targeted epigenetic tools (e.g., dCas9 fused to DNA-methyltransferases/ demethylases, histone acetyltransferases/ deacetylases, or transcriptional activators/repressors) permit locus-specific activation or repression of stress-responsive networks, and have already produced stress-resilience phenotypes in model plants and early crop studies. Epigenomic approaches can modulate hormone signaling, osmoprotectant pathways, and antioxidant systems, and in some cases generate mitotically and potentially meiotically heritable effects that constitute a form of rapid adaptation (epigenetic memory). Key challenges for translation include ensuring specificity and stability of edits, understanding transgenerational inheritance, regulatory acceptance, and delivery in diverse staple species. Realizing the potential of epigenome

Vigyan Varta an International E-Magazine for Science Enthusiasts

www.vigyanvarta.in

Vol. 6, Issue 12

E-ISSN: 2582-9467
Popular Article
Kumar and Dahiya (2025)

engineering will require integration of high-resolution epigenomic mapping, robust editing platforms, field validation, and breeding pipelines that combine epigenetic variants with conventional genetic improvements..

INTRODUCTION

Climate change is increasing the frequency and intensity of abiotic stresses that reduce yields of staple crops (rice, wheat, maize, soybean). While classical breeding and transgenic approaches remain central, emerging molecular strategies are needed to accelerate the development of climate-resilient cultivars. Epigenetic regulation principally DNA methylation, histone post-translational modifications, chromatin remodeling, and small RNAs modulates gene expression programs that control stress perception, signaling, and tolerance (e.g., ABA signaling, heat-shock responses, osmoprotectant biosynthesis). Importantly, some epigenetic states are dynamic responses to environment and can persist after stress recovery as "stress memories," affecting subsequent responses and, in some cases, progeny phenotypes (transgenerational epigenetic inheritance). Advances in programmable epigenome editors (dCas9 fusions, targeted RdDM modulation, and RNA-guided effectors) enable precise reprogramming of these chromatin marks at defined loci, offering the prospect of rapidly generating stress-tolerant phenotypes without altering coding sequences. Below I synthesize mechanistic rationale, toolsets, proof-of-concept results, opportunities, and limitations relevant to deploying epigenome engineering in staple-crop climate adaptation.

Mechanistic Rationale: How Epigenetic States Affect Abiotic-Stress Responses

Epigenetic marks regulate accessibility of stress-responsive genes and regulatory elements. For example:

- **DNA methylation** in promoters or gene bodies can repress or modulate transcription of stress-responsive genes; drought and salinity often correlate with locus-specific methylation changes that alter gene expression.

- **Histone modifications** (e.g., H3K4me3, H3K27ac associated with active chromatin; H3K27me3, H3K9me2 with repression) dynamically change during heat, cold, or salt exposure, affecting transcriptional memory of stress genes.

- **RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM)** and small RNAs can target transposable elements and neighbouring gene regulation, contributing to stable or reversible silencing relevant to stress adaptation.

These layers provide multiple intervention points for epigenome editing: turning on protective pathways (e.g., osmolyte synthesis, ROS scavenging) or dampening maladaptive responses (e.g., premature senescence).

Epigenome Engineering Tools and Strategies

Programmable, sequence-targeted epigenome editors are chiefly based on catalytically dead Cas proteins (dCas9/dCas12) fused to effector domains:

- **dCas9–activator fusions** (e.g., p300, HAT domains, VP64) deposit activating histone marks or recruit transcription machinery to boost stress-protective gene expression. Proof-of-concept in Arabidopsis shows

Vigyan Varta an International E-Magazine for Science Enthusiasts

Vigyan Varta
www.vigyanvarta.in

Vol. 6, Issue 12

E-ISSN: 2582-9467
Popular Article
Kumar and Dahiya (2025)

improved drought tolerance via dCas9–HAT activation of AREB1.

- **dCas9–repressor fusions** (KRAB, LSD1, histone deacetylases) induce local repression where gain-of-function of stress-sensitive regulators is detrimental.

- **Targeted DNA-methylation/demethylation** (fusion to DNMT or TET-like domains) can stably silence or reactivate loci implicated in stress responses. Emerging plant studies show locus-specific methylation manipulation is feasible.

- **RNA-guided RdDM modulation** uses guide RNAs or tethered AGO/RdDM components to reprogram methylation at repeats or promoters.

Delivery platforms include stable transformation, virus-based vectors, or transient RNP/viral vectors; choice depends on species, regulatory pathway, and whether heritable editing is intended.

Evidence and Proof-of-Concepts

- **Model plants:** dCas9–HAT activation of AREB1 improved drought resilience in Arabidopsis, demonstrating that targeted chromatin activation can alter physiological stress responses.

- **Genome-wide methylation studies:** Crop and model studies have repeatedly found stress-associated differential methylation patterns correlated with altered stress tolerance and stress memory, providing candidate loci for targeted editing.

- **Epigenetic memory and inheritance:** Stress-induced methylation changes have been reported to persist across mitotic divisions; some studies indicate transgenerational effects in plants, though stability and generality vary by locus,

species, and stress regime. This suggests potential for breeding or selection of stable epialleles, but also highlights unpredictability.

Opportunities for Crop Improvement and Climate Adaptation

1. **Rapid phenotypic tuning without coding-sequence changes.** Epigenome edits can up- or down-regulate existing genes, enabling phenotype modulation across diverse germplasm backgrounds.

2. **Stacking stress memories with genetics.** Epialleles that confer improved acclimation could be combined with genetic alleles for additive or synergistic tolerance.

3. **Reversible and fine-tunable interventions.** Unlike permanent sequence edits, some epigenetic marks may be reversible, offering flexible management (e.g., inducible editors tied to environmental cues).

4. **Targeting regulatory hubs.** Epigenome editing can act on promoters/enhancers or chromatin domains to modulate entire stress networks rather than single genes, potentially producing broader adaptive responses.

Key Challenges and Research Needs

- **Specificity and off-target chromatin effects.** Ensuring edits are confined to intended loci and do not disrupt neighbouring regulatory landscapes. Rigorous epigenomic profiling is required.

- **Stability and heritability.** Determining which engineered epigenetic states persist across generations and under field conditions remains a major uncertainty. Controlled multi-generation trials are necessary.

Vigyan Varta an International E-Magazine for Science Enthusiasts

www.vigyanvarta.in

Vol. 6, Issue 12

E-ISSN: 2582-9467
Popular Article
Kumar and Dahiya (2025)

- **Delivery in staple crops.** Efficient, species-appropriate delivery methods (transient vs stable) that align with regulatory frameworks are essential.

- **Phenotype × environment interactions.** Field validation across environments is critical because lab or greenhouse gains may not translate under complex field stresses.

- **Regulatory and social acceptance.** Epigenome editing occupies a gray zone in many regulatory systems; clear communication and policy engagement are needed.

CONCLUSION

Epigenome engineering is a versatile, mechanistically grounded approach with strong potential to enhance abiotic-stress tolerance in staple crops and help agriculture adapt to climate change. Targeted modulation of DNA methylation, histone marks, and RNA-mediated chromatin states can reprogram stress-responsive networks to confer improved physiological resilience, and, in some cases, establish stress memories that benefit subsequent stress encounters. Early experimental successes in model systems validate the concept, but translation to major crops demands advances in specificity, delivery, stability assessment, and comprehensive field-level testing. Combining epigenetic edits with conventional breeding and genome editing, while navigating regulatory landscapes responsibly, could accelerate development of climate-adapted cultivars. With coordinated investment in tool development, epigenomic mapping, and multi-environment trials, epigenome engineering can become an important component of the climate-smart crop toolbox.

REFERENCES

Fan, Y., Sun, C., Yan, K., Li, P., Hein, I., Gilroy, E. M. (2024). Recent advances in studies of genomic DNA methylation and its involvement in regulating drought stress response in crops. *Plants, 13*(10), 1400.

Hauser, M. T., Aufsatz, W., Jonak, C., Luschnig, C. (2011). Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance in plants. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Regulatory Mechanisms, 1809*(8), 459–468.

Marrou, H., Dufour, L., Wéry, J. (2013). How does a shelter of solar panels influence water flows in a soil–crop system? *European Journal of Agronomy, 50*, 38–51.

Qi, Q., Hu, B., Jiang, W., Wang, Y., Yan, J., Ma, F. (2023). Advances in plant epigenome editing research and its application in plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 24*(4), 3442.

Roca Paixão, J. F. R., Lourenço-Tessutti, I. T., de Melo, B. P., Noriega, D. D., Silva, M. C. M., de Almeida-Engler, J. (2019). Transcriptional modulation of AREB-1 by CRISPRa improves plant physiological performance under severe water deficit. *Scientific Reports, 9*, 8080.

Thakur, R. (2024). Epigenetic regulation of abiotic stress responses in plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences.*